**THEMIS Group Call Nov 13**

The group discussed the remaining group issues logged on github:

<https://github.com/OHDSI/Themis/issues/>

All issues but one have been modified – to be reviewed for Release V2.0.

If anyone wants to raise other issues, add them to log on github for discussion and review.

For Nov 27 call: Review list, add new issues if necessary. Come prepared with comments and agreement/disagreement.

**Workgroup 1:**

**1. Convention for representing assignment of person to a clinical trial #37**

<https://github.com/OHDSI/Themis/issues/37>
Resolution: Associate with release 2, add to list, phase answer and post on forum to gather feedback.

**2. Mother to baby and father to baby link - specify which concept to use #38**

<https://github.com/OHDSI/Themis/issues/38>

Discussion: Other CDM more advanced and better conventions; OMOP CDM catching up with the need to standardize and harmonize relationships and conventions. Recommendation to capture only biological relationship. Ambiguity between social and biological context of relationship (natural/biological vs foster/adoptive parent) is not helpful. Keep the concept simple, ensure straightforward hierarchy. Most cases capture relationship with the mother; most plans include financially derived information about the mother – focus on that.

The Health plan perspective ensures family information capture (out of context here).

Biological relationship (non-financial) is this group’s concern and ability to capture here.

Vojtech proposed to make the concept 40478925 (<http://athena.ohdsi.org/search-terms/terms/40478925> non-standard, focus on biology concept <http://athena.ohdsi.org/search-terms/terms/4029630>.

Questions raised for further discussion: Allow only the biological mother to be defined, or also allow the biological father, or a parent as general entry? Hierarchy with mother and father under parent? Discourage parent, add warning when used?

**3. Standardization of Measurements and Units #28**

<https://github.com/OHDSI/Themis/issues/28>

Vojtech proposed to review again and aim for consensus. Vojtech to post link to discussion.

Melanie: Is conversion needed from mmol per weight? Vojtech: Yes, could potentially pick up again in April 2019. Invitation for THEMIS to reference this set of standards.

**Workgroup 2:**

**4. Payer plan enhancement and clean-up #20**

Unresolved in last release, tabled. Resume for discussion and review for next release.

**5. Where should we store DRG codes? #19**

Change not built into vocabulary. Push for release V2. Ana was looking for list of codes and versions; some codes look similar – more work needs to be done on that.

**6. How to handle geographical or year-based multiplier tables? #17**

The only issue closed during the call. Use case not understood. Apparent use suggests analytical step involving reference data tables external to CDM/OMOP data.

**7. Granular vs Total Cost #12**

Reevaluate for Release 2. Concept IDs at this point include two different types of costs.

**Workgroup 3:**

**8. Patient Reported Drugs/Conditions #25**

[https://github.com/OHDSI/Themis/issues/25](https://na01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fgithub.com%2FOHDSI%2FThemis%2Fissues%2F25&data=02%7C01%7CSValkova%40theimsinstitute.org%7Ca499b87674914d5e41ce08d63da65174%7C5989ece0f90e40bf9c791a7beccdb861%7C1%7C0%7C636764181881023740&sdata=xeol6vrqT1wQD6gfMTZUGBcclDK80p09rTCu4egchyA%3D&reserved=0)

Capture link from forum discussion/proposal. Erica to propose for discussion and next release.