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Background—The comparative safety of dabigatran versus warfarin for treatment of nonvalvular atrial fibrillation in general practice settings has not been established.

Methods and Results—We formed new-user cohorts of propensity score–matched elderly patients enrolled in Medicare who initiated dabigatran or warfarin for treatment of nonvalvular atrial fibrillation between October 2010 and December 2012. Among 134,414 patients with 37,587 person-years of follow-up, there were 2,715 primary outcome events. The hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals) comparing dabigatran with warfarin (reference) were as follows: ischemic stroke, 0.80 (0.67–0.96); intracranial hemorrhage, 0.34 (0.26–0.46); major gastrointestinal bleeding, 1.28 (1.14–1.44); acute myocardial infarction, 0.92 (0.78–1.08); and death, 0.86 (0.77–0.96). In the subgroup treated with dabigatran 75 mg twice daily, there was no difference in risk compared with warfarin for any outcome except intracranial hemorrhage, in which case dabigatran risk was reduced. Most patients treated with dabigatran 75 mg twice daily appeared not to have severe renal impairment, the intended population for this dose. In the dabigatran 150-mg twice daily subgroup, the magnitude of effect for each outcome was greater than in the combined-dose analysis.

Conclusions—In general practice settings, dabigatran was associated with reduced risk of ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, and death and increased risk of major gastrointestinal hemorrhage compared with warfarin in elderly patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. These associations were most pronounced in patients treated with dabigatran 150 mg twice daily, whereas the association of 75 mg twice daily with study outcomes was indistinguishable from warfarin except for a lower risk of intracranial hemorrhage with dabigatran. (Circulation. 2015;131:157-164. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.012061.)
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**What is the design used by Graham et al?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input parameter</th>
<th>Design choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target cohort (T)</td>
<td>dabigatran new users with prior atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator cohort (C)</td>
<td>warfarin new users with prior atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome cohort (O)</td>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-at-risk</td>
<td>1 day after cohort start → cohort end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model specification</td>
<td>1:1 propensity score-matched univariable conditional Cox proportional hazards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A new-user retrospective cohort design was used to compare patients initiating dabigatran or warfarin for the treatment of nonvalvular AF. We identified all patients with any inpatient or outpatient diagnoses of AF or atrial flutter based on *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* coding who also filled at least 1 prescription for either drug from October 19, 2010 (US dabigatran approval date) through December 31, 2012, the study end date. Patients were excluded if they had <6 months of enrollment in Medicare before their index dispensing, were aged <65 years, received prior treatment with a study medication or rivaroxaban or apixaban (anticoagulants approved during the study), were in a skilled nursing facility or nursing home, or were receiving hospice care on the date of their cohort-qualifying prescription. Patients were also excluded if they had a hospitalization that extended beyond the index dispensing date. Patients discharged from the hospital on the same day as their index dispensing were included. Patients undergoing dialysis and kidney transplant recipients were also excluded. Additionally, because warfarin is approved for indications other than AF, we excluded patients with diagnoses indicating the presence of mitral valve disease, heart valve repair or replacement, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, or joint replacement surgery in the preceding 6 months.
Graham et al. replication: Designing the target cohort in ATLAS

**Cohort definition:** A cohort is defined as the set of persons satisfying one or more inclusion criteria for a duration of time. One person may qualify for one cohort multiple times during non-overlapping time intervals. Cohorts are constructed in ATLAS by specifying cohort entry criteria and cohort exit criteria. Cohort entry criteria involve selecting one or more initial events, which determine the start date for cohort entry, and optionally specifying additional inclusion criteria which filter to the qualifying events. Cohort exit criteria are applied to each cohort entry record to determine the end date when the person’s episode no longer qualifies for the cohort.


**Initial event cohort:** Events are recorded time-stamped observations for the persons, such as drug exposures, conditions, procedures, measurements and visits. All events have a start date and end date, although some events may have a start date and end date with the same value (such as procedures or measurements). The event index date is set to be equal to the event start date.

**People having any of the following:**
- a drug era of **dabigatran**
- for the first time in the person’s history
- era start is: **On or After** 2010-10-19
- with age at era start **Greater or Equal To** 65

with continuous observation of at least **183** days before and **0** days after event index date

Limit initial events to: **earliest event** per person.
Graham et al. replication: Designing the target cohort in ATLAS

1. Has prior atrial fibrillation of atrial flutter diagnosis
2. Has no prior treatment with comparator drug (warfarin)
3. Has no prior treatment with other anticoagulants (rivaroxaban or apixaban)
4. Not in a skilled nursing facility or nursing home, or receiving hospice care on the index date
5. Not undergoing dialysis or kidney transplant recipient
6. No mitral valve disease, heart valve repair, or replacement in the prior 6 months
7. No deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism in the prior 6 months
8. No joint replacement surgery in the prior 6 months
Graham et al. replication: Designing the target cohort in ATLAS

**Additional qualifying inclusion criteria:** The qualifying cohort will be defined as all persons who have an initial event, satisfy the initial event inclusion criteria, and fulfill all additional qualifying inclusion criteria. Each qualifying inclusion criteria will be evaluated to determine the impact of the criteria on the attrition of persons from the initial cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New qualifying inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Has prior atrial fibrillation of atrial flutter diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has prior atrial fibrillation of atrial flutter diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Description:**

- **People having any** of the following criteria:

  - **with at least 1 using all occurrences of:**
    - a condition occurrence of **Atrial fibrillation**
    - occurring between **All days Before** and **0 days After** event index date

  - or with **at least 1 using all occurrences of:**
    - a condition occurrence of **Atrial flutter**
    - occurring between **All days Before** and **0 days After** event index date
Graham et al. replication: Designing the target cohort in ATLAS

Cohort Exit Criteria

Specify a concept set that contains one or more drugs. A drug era will be derived from all drug exposure events for any of the drugs within the concept set, using the specified persistence window as a maximum allowable gap in days between successive exposure events and adding a specified surveillance window to the final exposure event. If no exposure event end date is provided, then an exposure event end date is inferred to be event start date + days supply in cases when days supply is available or event start date + 1 day otherwise. This cohort exit criteria assures that the cohort end date will be no greater than the drug era end date.

Concept set containing the drug(s) of interest: dabigatran
- Persistence window: allow for a maximum of 3 days between exposure records when inferring the era of persistence exposure
- Surveillance window: add 0 days to the end of the era of persistence exposure as an additional period of surveillance prior to cohort exit.
Every entity referenced the cohort definition needs to a complete definition of concepts and associated source codes.
Graham et al. replication: Designing the comparator cohort in ATLAS

Cohort definition: A cohort is defined as the set of persons satisfying one or more inclusion criteria for a duration of time. One person may qualify for one cohort multiple times during non-overlapping time intervals. Cohorts are constructed in ATLAS by specifying cohort entry criteria and cohort exit criteria. Cohort entry criteria involve selecting one or more initial events, which define the start date for cohort entry, and optionally specifying additional inclusion criteria which filter to the qualifying events. Cohort exit criteria are applied to each cohort entry record to determine the end date when the person’s episode no longer qualifies for the cohort.

Cohort as defined in Graham et al., Circulation, 2015: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25359164

- People having any of the following: Add Initial Event...
  - a drug era of warfarin
  - for the first time in the person's history
  - era start is: On or After 2010-10-19
  - with age at era start Greater or Equal To 65

- with continuous observation of at least 183 days before and 0 days after event index date

Limit initial events to: earliest event per person.
Graham et al. replication: Evaluating the impact of inclusion criteria on the comparator cohort in ATLAS

### Inclusion Report for Truven MDCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Rule</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>% Satisfied</th>
<th>% To-Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Has prior atrial fibrillation of atrial flutter diagnosis</td>
<td>78,371</td>
<td>47.14%</td>
<td>16.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Has no prior treatment with comparator drug (dabigatran)</td>
<td>162,601</td>
<td>97.81%</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has no prior treatment with other anticoagulants (rivaroxaban or apixaban)</td>
<td>161,768</td>
<td>97.31%</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Not in a skilled nursing facility or nursing home, or receiving hospice care on the index date</td>
<td>166,149</td>
<td>99.94%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Not undergoing dialysis or kidney transplant recipient</td>
<td>163,463</td>
<td>98.33%</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. No mitral valve disease, heart valve repair, or replacement in the prior 6 months</td>
<td>157,221</td>
<td>94.57%</td>
<td>2.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. No deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism in the prior 6 months</td>
<td>118,058</td>
<td>71.02%</td>
<td>5.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. No joint replacement surgery in the prior 6 months</td>
<td>138,630</td>
<td>83.39%</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study Outcomes
The primary outcomes were ischemic stroke, major bleeding with specific focus on intracranial and gastrointestinal bleeding, and AMI. Secondary outcomes were all hospitalized bleeding events and mortality. The *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification* codes used to define these outcomes are listed in Table II in the online-only Data Supplement. The codes defining ischemic stroke have a positive predictive value (PPV) of 88% to 95%.\textsuperscript{18–20} Major bleeding was defined as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>ICD-9 Codes</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Setting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>410 (all)</td>
<td>1st or 2nd</td>
<td>IP only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic stroke</td>
<td>433.x1, 434.x (except subcode: x0), 436</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>IP only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Graham et al. replication: Designing the outcome cohort in ATLAS

**Cohort definition:** A cohort is defined as the set of persons satisfying one or more inclusion criteria for a duration of time. One person may qualify for one cohort multiple times during non-overlapping time intervals. Cohorts are constructed in ATLAS by specifying cohort entry criteria and cohort exit criteria. Cohort entry criteria involve selecting one or more initial events, which determine the start date cohort entry, and optionally specifying additional inclusion criteria which filter to the qualifying events. Cohort exit criteria are applied to each cohort entry record to determine the end date when the person's episode no longer qualifies for the cohort.


**Initial event cohort:** Events are recorded time-stamped observations for the persons, such as drug exposures, conditions, procedures, measurements and visits. All events have a start date and end date though some events may have a start date and end date with the same value (such as procedures or measurements). The event index date is set to be equal to the event start date.

People having any of the following: Add Initial Event...

A condition occurrence of Ischemic stroke

Condition Type is: Inpatient detail - primary, Inpatient header - primary, Primary Condition

with a Visit occurrence of: Emergency Room Visit, Inpatient Visit

with continuous observation of at least 0 days before and 0 days after event index date

Limit initial events to: earliest event per person.
Graham et al. description of the outcome model

Statistical Analysis

Analyses were performed on the propensity score–matched cohorts, thereby accounting for the potential confounding factors shown in Table 1 and in the online-only Data Supplement. Incidence rates were estimated with the use of event counts and exposure follow-up time. Kaplan–Meier plots were generated to characterize the contour of risk over time for each outcome. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to compare time to event in dabigatran compared with warfarin (reference) cohorts.
Graham et al. replication: Designing the outcome model in ATLAS

ATLAS Population Level Effect Estimation

OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: dabigatran vs warfarin for risk of ischemic str

Choose your target cohort:

OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: target cohort - dabigatran new users with prior atrial fibrillation

Choose your comparator cohort:

OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: comparator cohort - warfarin new users with prior atrial fibrillation

Choose your outcome cohort:

OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: outcome cohort #1 - incident ischemic stroke, observed in inpatient setting

Specify the statistical model used to estimate the risk of outcome between target and comparator cohorts:

Cox proportional hazards

Define the time-at-risk window start, relative to target/comparator cohort entry:

1 days from cohort start date

Define the time-at-risk window end:

0 days from cohort end date

Minimum washout period applied to target and comparator cohorts:

0

Minimum required days at risk, applied to target and comparator cohorts:

1

Remove patients who enter both cohorts:

No

Remove patients who have observed the outcome prior to cohort entry:

Yes

Use propensity score adjustment as a confounding adjustment strategy for baseline covariates:

Yes
Research question

To compare the risk of OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: outcome cohort #1 - incident ischemic stroke, observed in inpatient setting, between OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: target cohort - dabigatran new users with prior atrial fibrillation and OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: comparator cohort - warfarin new users with prior atrial fibrillation, we will estimate the population-level effect of exposure on the hazards of the outcome during the period from 1 days from cohort start date to 0 days from cohort end date.

Study Design:

This study will follow a retrospective, observational, comparative cohort design. We define ‘retrospective’ to mean the study will be conducted using data already collected prior to the start of the study. We define ‘observational’ to mean there is no intervention or treatment assignment imposed by the study. We define ‘cohort’ to mean a set of patients satisfying a one or more inclusion criteria for a duration of time. We define ‘comparative cohort design’ to mean the formal comparison between two cohorts, a target cohort and comparator cohort, for the risk of an outcome during a defined time period after cohort entry.

In this study, we compare OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: target cohort - dabigatran new users with prior atrial fibrillation with OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: comparator cohort - warfarin new users with prior atrial fibrillation for the hazards of OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: outcome cohort #1 - incident ischemic stroke, observed in inpatient setting from 1 days from cohort start date to 0 days from cohort end date.

For both cohorts, we impose a requirement that patients must have at least 1 days of continuous observation after the time-at-risk start, 1 days from cohort start date.

The overall study population could be considered to be patients who entered either the target cohort or comparator cohort.

The time-to-event of outcome among patients in the target and comparator cohorts is determined by calculating the number of days from the start of the time-at-risk window, 1 days from cohort start date until the earliest event among (1) the first occurrence of the outcome, OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: outcome cohort #1 - incident ischemic stroke, observed in inpatient setting before 0 days from cohort end date, 2) the end of the time-at-risk window, 0 days from cohort end date, and 3) the end of the observation period that spans the time-at-risk start.

Patients with OHDSI estimation tutorial: Graham replication: outcome cohort #1 - incident ischemic stroke, observed in inpatient setting prior to target or comparator cohort entry were excluded from consideration.

Propensity scores will be used as an analytic strategy to reduce potential confounding due to imbalance between the target and comparator cohorts in baseline covariates. The propensity score is the probability of a patient being classified in the target cohort vs. the comparator cohort, given a set of observed covariates. In this study, the propensity score is estimated for each patient, using the predicted probability from a regularized logistic regression model, fit with a Laplace prior (LASSO) and the regularization hyperparameter selected by optimizing the likelihood in a 10-fold cross validation, using a starting variance of 0.01 and a tolerance of 2e-7.

The types of baseline covariates used to fit the propensity score model will be:

- Demographics
  - Gender
  - Age group (5-year bands)
  - Race
  - Ethnicity