

# Predicting Cervical Neoplasms Among Intrauterine Device Users

Matthew E. Spotnitz, M.D., M.P.H.<sup>1,3</sup>, Jenna Reps, Ph.D.<sup>2,3</sup>, Karthik Natarajan, Ph.D.<sup>1,3</sup>, Carolyn L. Westhoff, M.D. M.Sc.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, <sup>2</sup>Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Titusville, NJ, <sup>3</sup>Observational Health Data Sciences & Informatics, New York, NY

Is this the first time you have submitted your work to be displayed at any OHDSI Symposium?

Yes  No

## Abstract

*A previous study from the OHDSI community showed that the relative risk of cervical neoplasms is higher for levonorgestrel intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) compared to copper intrauterine device (Cu-IUD) users [1]. The objective of this study is to develop and validate patient-level prediction models for patients in Cu-IUD and LNG-IUS cohorts.*

## Background

The OHDSI community has presented evidence to suggest that LNG-IUS exposure may double the risk of high grade cervical neoplasms relative to Cu-IUD exposure. These findings may have implications for the health of approximately 1 million women. The purpose of this study is to predict which IUD users may be at risk for developing a cervical neoplasm. A valid prediction model may lead to protective interventions for Cu-IUD or LNG-IUS users who have an elevated risk for high grade cervical neoplasms.

## Methods

We implemented a retrospective, observational, cohort study. Using ATLAS, we made 3 target cohorts for Cu-IUD users, LNG-IUS users, and all IUD users. The first two target cohorts and outcome cohort have been described previously [1]. Additionally, we made a third target cohort that did not specify LNG-IUS exposure. The time at risk was from 1 year to 10 years after IUD implantation. The prediction was implemented with a Lasso Logistic Regression, among other models at the discretion of the investigators. The study data came from the Truven MarketScan Commercial Claims & Encounters (CCAE) database.

## Results

The crude incidences of cervical neoplasms for the Cu-IUD and LNG-IUS were similar to the rates reported in the previous study [1] (Table 1). The AUC was 0.7 for the Cu-IUD and all IUD users cohorts. For the LNG-IUS cohort, the model did not converge. Prior HPV infection, synthetic hormone exposure, vaginal delivery and smoking history were associated with a cervical neoplasm (Table 2).

Target Cohort Name	Target Count	Outcome Count	%Outcome Incidence	AUC
Cu-IUD	124702	893	0.7	0.68
LNG-IUS	6650	94	1.4	N/A
IUD All	126365	917	0.7	0.67

**Table 1:** Model performance for the target cohorts. AUC = Area Under the Curve. Cu-IUD = Copper IUD, LNG-IUS = Levonorgestrel Intrauterine System, IUD All = All IUD Users.

Time Window	Variable	Coefficient
365 to 0 days prior	HPV DNA Detection	0.37
Any to 0 days prior	Levonorgestrel Exposure	0.35
Any to 0 days prior	Normal delivery	0.20
365 to 0 days prior	Etonogestrel Exposure	0.12
365 to 0 days prior	Ex-cigarette Smoker	0.11

**Table 2:** Covariates and coefficients for variables associated with the outcome for the Cu-IUD cohort.

### Discussion/Conclusion

The covariates that were associated with a high grade cervical neoplasm were consistent with known risk factors. This finding supports the validity of using OHDSI data to evaluate novel cervical neoplasia risks, such as IUD type.

### References

1. Spotnitz ME, Natarajan K, Ryan PB, Westhoff CL. *Relative Risk of Cervical Neoplasms Among Copper and Levonorgestrel-Releasing Intrauterine Device Users*. *Obstet Gynecol* 135(2):319-327 (2020).