

2024 Edition



February 20th, 2024 Community call update

Phenotype Phebruary 2024 Calendar





W1: Alzheimer's disease



Where we are with Alzheimer's

Reviewed the literature -> Replicated the cohorts -> Characterized the patients -> Estimated performing characteristics of the definition

What else can we learn?



Measurement error impact on background incidence

Inputs

- 13 AD definitions
- 7 databases
- SN, SP, PPV, NPV
 Joel Swerdel
- Background IR/1000PY
- Errors and IRs age × sex stratified

Impact evaluation

Correct IR via QBA principles

Sensitivity and specificity approach

 $Outcomes_{Corrected} = (Outcomes - (1 - SP) * Persons_{At-risk})/(SN - (1 - SP))$

- Metrics
 - Relative IR
 - Expected absolute measurement error: *abs(log*(relative IR))

AD: Alzheimer's disease, SN: sensitivity, SP: specificity, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value IR/1000PY: incidence rate per 1000 person-years, QBA quantitative bias analysis



Measurement error impact on background incidence

Harris defn: [2 Dx] OR [2 Rx] OR [1Dx AND 1Rx]; 2nd event [1-365d]

Method											
sensSpec 🔹	Show 10 v entrie			_				Search:			
	databaseId 🔶 oN	lame		🔷 stratum 🗍	TP 🌲	TN 🔶 🛛 FP	FN ♦	sens 🔶	spec 🍦	рру 🍦	npv 🌲
Database	truven_mdcr Al:	zheimers disease per Ha	arris JAD 2023	Female >=85	888	56271	414 368	0.70677	0.9927	0.68126	0.99352
truven_mdcr 🗸	Showing 1 to 1 of 1 ent	ries							Previou	s 1	Next
Strata	IP: /1000 P. IR: /10	000 PYs									
Female >=85 ▼											
	Show 10 v entrie	s						Search	1:		
Target	databaseId 🍦 meth	hod 🔶 tName 🍦	oName	🕈 stratum	IP ≑ ⊂I	P 🕴 IPrel	IPeame 🔶	IR 🌲	cIR 🔶 IR	rel 🗍 🛛 IR	eame 🍦
Persons on 1 Jan 2018-2022 Outcome	truven_mdcr sens	Persons on 1 Spec Jan 2018-2022	Alzheimers disease per Har JAD 2023	Female ris >=85	53.8824 66	.6329 1.2	37 0.212	2 58.3599	71.6637	1.228	0.205
Alzheimers disease per Harr 🔺	Showing 1 to 1 of 1 ent	ries							Previou	s 1	Next

sens: sensitivity, spec: specificity, ppv: positive predictive value, npv: negative predictive value IR: incidence rate/1000 person-years, cIR: corrected IR, IRrel: relative IR, IReame: expected absolute measurement error

Measurement error impact on background incidence

Package update:

- <u>https://github.com/ohds</u>
 <u>i-studies/PhePheb2024</u>
- Development near complete, thanks Thomas Falconer
- 4 data partners signed up to execute

PhePheb2024 (Public) generated from ohdsi-studies/EmptyStudyRepository				🖒 Edit Pins 🗸	⊙ Watch 6
🐉 master 🔹 🐉 1 Branch 🟷 0 Tags		Q Go to file	t	Add file 👻	<> Code •
👔 jamieweaver fixed cohortTableNames bug					(1) 4 Commits
R R	fixed cohor	TableNames bug			last week
🖿 extras	updated rei	del definition			2 weeks ago
🖿 inst	updated rei	del definition			2 weeks ago
	adding stud	ly package with Alzheim	er's disease ph	nenotypes	2 weeks ago
NAMESPACE	adding stud	ly package with Alzheim	er's disease ph	nenotypes	2 weeks ago
PhePheb2024.Rproj	adding stud	ly package with Alzheim	er's disease pl	nenotypes	2 weeks ago
B README.md	adding stud	ly package with Alzheim	er's disease ph	nenotypes	2 weeks ago
					Ø ∷≣

Phenotype Phebruary 2024

Study Status Repo Created

- Analytics use case(s): Characterization
- Study type: Methods Research
- Tags: Phenotype Phebruary 2024
- Study lead: James Weaver
- Study lead forums tag: jweave17
- Study start date: Feb 1, 2024
- Study end date: Feb 29, 2024
- Protocol: https://forums.ohdsi.org/t/ohdsi-phenotype-phebruary-2024
- Publications: TBD
- Results explorer: TDB

F	low to run
	1. In R , use the following code to install dependencies: (Update any additional dependencies if prompted
	<pre>install.packages("remotes") remotes:install_github("ohdsi/SqlRender") remotes:install_github("ohdsi/DatabaseConnector") remotes:install_github("ohdsi/Dohdsi/Sharing") remotes:install_github("ohdsi/CohortGenerator") remotes:install_github("ohdsi/CohortDiagnostics") remotes::install_github("ohdsi/CohortIncidence")</pre>
	2. In 'R', use the following code to install the PhePheb2024 package:
	remotes::install_github("ohdsi-studies/PhePheb2024")
	3. Once installed, you can execute the study by modifying and using the following code:
	<pre>library(magrittr) options(fftempdir = "") # directory location where any temporary files will be stored</pre>
	<pre>outputFolder <- "" # directory location where study results will be saved; e.g. "G:/PhePhebAlzh" if(!file.exists(outputFolder)){ dir.create(outputFolder) }</pre>
	<pre>cohortDatabaseSchema <- "" # database schema name with write access cohortTable <- "phe_pheb_cohort"</pre>
	cdmDatabaseSchema <- "" # database schema where your CDM is located databaseId <- "" # short, concise name of your database, e.g. "cuimc"
	<pre>connectionDetails <- DatabaseConnector::createConnectionDetails(doms = "", server = "", user = "",</pre>

PhePheb2824::execute(connectionDetails = connectionDetails, outputFolder = outputFolder, targetCohortIds = targetCohortIds, outcomeCohortIds = outcomeCohortIds, databaseId = databaseEd, cdmDatabaseSchema = cohortDatabaseSchema, cohortDatabaseSchema = cohortDatabaseSchema, cohortDataba = cohortDatabaseSchema, cohortDatbe = cohortTatble, remateCohortTable = TRUE, runCohortDiagnostics = TRUE, runChortDiagnostics = TRUE, runChortDiagnostics = TRUE, runChortDiagnostics = TRUE,



W3: Major Depressive Disorder



What did we do?





What did we do?



*Opportunities for automated/systematic lit review to support phenotype development



Do researchers aim at reproducibility or conceptual definitions?

Conceptual definitions: provide some rationale for population chosen and how the criteria selected facilitate capture of such population

Good ex.:

"...To avoid potential bias from other neuropsychiatric conditions, patients were excluded if they had diagnoses for bipolar/manic disorder, mood disorders other than MDD, Alzheimer disease, Parkinson disease, or dementia during the study period..." [aim: cost and utilization]

"...We excluded hospitals wards with fewer than 20 recorded admissions ... this exclusion was made because hospital wards with only sporadic admissions were potentially less likely to report data to the Danish Depression Database because of possible inadequate routines..." [aim: quality of care]

Bad ex.:

Say nothing but exclude codes like F32.5 Major depressive disorder, single episode, in full remission or F32.8 Other depressive episodes



Do researchers aim at reproducibility or conceptual definitions?

Reproducibility

of papers that have codes: 21/24 (17 put the codes in the body of manuscript)

2 papers had codes in supplements, but supplements are not accessible 1 paper does not have codes at all

The study population consisted of adults with an episode of depression during the study period with no prior antipsychotic use and no prior diagnosis of bipolar disorder or schizophrenia. Episodes were defined by the prescription of antidepressants and presence of depression diagnoses identified with Read codes. Antidepressant prescriptions were grouped into spells of treatment, separated by gaps

of papers that explicitly state codes: 5/24 (4 in body and 1 in supplements)*

* Does not imply that definitions are reproducible



Do researchers aim at reproducibility or conceptual definitions?

Reproducibility: OHDSI studies

- 1 study provided explicit list of ICD10CM and ICD9CM codes (US data sources only)
- 1 study provided SNOMED ancestor (US + Korea)



Glance at phenotype definitions

Common patterns in concept sets:

- all F32 (Depressive episode) and F33 (recurrent MDD) and/or corresponding ICD9CM
- F33 only
- F32 and F33 excluding codes that mention remission

Common patterns in phenotype definitions:

- 1/2/3 codes with various restrictions (time window, position, etc.)
- exclusion of differential diagnoses (bipolar, psychosis, dementia, etc.)

More details when we replicate the cohorts!





Next steps

- PAH data extraction in progress (BIG THANK YOU)
- MDD cohort replication (sign up in the sheet)
- PAH cohort replication will follow
- Study package (Jamie in contact with data partners)
- Open call to plan the manuscript